

*5th International Workshop on PET in Lymphoma  
Menton, September 20, 2014  
Poster Session*

# **Poster discussion PET in lymphoma - clinical**

*Ulrich Dührsen  
Department of Hematology  
University Hospital Essen*

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

## *Philosophy of the abstract volume*

<b>Section</b>	<b>No. abstracts</b>	<b>Diseases</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>Hodgkin lymphoma</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Metabolic tumor volume</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>Other technical studies</b>
<b>LBA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Hodgkin lymphoma</b>

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*Clinically versus technically oriented studies*

## **45 abstracts on ,PET in lymphoma‘**

29 clinically oriented studies

A1-A9, A13, B1, B3-B7, B10, B12-B17, C1, C3, C4, C6, D6, LBA

16 technically oriented studies

A10-A12, B2, B8, B9, B11, C2, C5, D1-D5, D7, D8

## 12 brief presentations

8 clinically oriented studies

A4, A13, B5, B12, B13, C4, D6, LBA

6 technically oriented studies

A12, C2/C5, D2, D7/D8

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*29 clinically oriented studies*

	HL	DLBCL	PMBCL	BL	PCNSL	PTCL	FL	MZL
<b>Pre</b>	A2, A5, <del>A8</del> , A13, C1, C3, D6	B5, D6				C4	D6	B14
<b>Interim</b>	A1, A3, A4, A6, A9, B17	B4, B6, B7, B15	B17	C6				
<b>Post</b>	<del>A7</del>	<del>B3</del>	<del>B1</del>		B16		B10, B12, B13	
<b>Follow-up</b>	LBA							

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Baseline PET/CT**

**Diagnostic accuracy**

# Baseline PET/CT - NLPHL

*A5: Grellier et al, Paris, France*

Entity / study goal: NLPHL / performance of pre-therapeutic PET/CT  
No. of patients: 35 (27 untreated, 8 relapsed), retrospective  
Gold standard: CT

## Results:

Per site analysis:

Sensitivity	100 %	→ Bone (marrow) lesions 20 %
Specificity	99 %	
Positive predictive value	97 %	
Negative predictive value	100 %	
Accuracy	99 %	→ Stage modification 34 %

Conclusions: FDG-PET/CT is useful to define stage in NLPHL

# Baseline PET/CT – MALT lymphomas

*B14: Treglia et al, Bellinzona, Switzerland*

Entity / study goal: Marginal zone lymphoma MALT / detection rate  
Studies: 20 (published until February 2014)  
No. of patients: 376, meta-analysis  
Gold standard: ?

## Results:

	<u>PET</u>	<u>PET/CT</u>
Overall detection rate	73 %	69 %
Bronchial MALT		94 %
Head & Neck MALT		90 %
Gastric MALT		62 %
Ocular MALT		49 %

Conclusions: MALT lymphomas are variably FDG-avid  
Potential clinical role (radiotherapy in localized stages?)

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Baseline PET/CT**

**Bone marrow involvement**



# Baseline PET/CT – Hodgkin lymphoma

*A2: Zwarthoed et al, Nice, France*

Entity / study goal: Hodgkin lymphoma / bone (marrow) involvement  
No. of patients: 152, advanced stage, retrospective  
Gold standard: ? (bone marrow biopsy)  
Treatment: ABVD

## Results:

	<u>PET/CT</u>	<u>Biopsy</u>	<u>3-yr PFS</u>
Focal uptake	23 (15 %)	6 (of 11)	60 % vs. 79 %
Diffuse uptake ( $\leq$ or $>$ liver)	42 (28 %), correlated with spleen uptake, leukocytosis, anemia, hypalbuminemia, B symptoms		

Conclusions: Focal uptake – stage IV disease  
Diffuse uptake – reactive changes  
Biopsy rarely changes stage, never changes treatment  
Excellent reviewer concordance (kappa coefficient 0,86)

# Baseline PET/CT – BM involvement

*D6: Ujjani et al, Washington, D.C., USA*

The utility of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG PET/CT  
in assessing bone marrow involvement  
in lymphoma (HL, DLBCL, FL)

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Baseline PET/CT**

**Prognostic impact**

# Baseline PET/CT – Hodgkin lymphoma

*C1: Touati et al, Limoges, France*

Entity / study goal: Hodgkin lymphoma / metabolic tumor volume  
No. of patients: 46, stage I – IV, retrospective  
Threshold for MTV:  $\geq$  liver SUV + 3 SD (50 cm<sup>3</sup> of normal liver)  
Treatment: ?

## Results:

Median MTV 194 cm<sup>3</sup>

## ROC analysis

MTV  $\leq$  310 cm<sup>3</sup>

No. pts. (%)

27 (59 %)

2-yr EFS

89 %

MTV > 310 cm<sup>3</sup>

19 (41 %)

28 %

no correlation with CD68

## Conclusions:

Initial MTV predicts EFS in HL  
(independently of other factors)

# Baseline PET/CT – Hodgkin lymphoma

*C3: Patel et al, Leeds, United Kingdom*

Entity / study goal:	Hodgkin lymphoma / metabolic tumor volume
No. of patients:	77, stage II – III (BM biopsy normal), retrospective
Threshold for MTV:	30 % or 40 % of SUVmax
	Bone marrow uptake: normal vs. reactive
Treatment:	?

## Results:

No correlation between PFS and SUVmax, MTV or TLG

Correlation between PFS and BM uptake pattern (reactive: HR 2,78)

Conclusions: Initial SUVmax, MTV or TLG do not predict PFS in HL  
BM uptake pattern correlates with PFS  
(cytokines released by HRS cells or monocytes?)

# Baseline PET/CT – prognostic impact

B5: Hüttmann et al, Essen, Germany

Outcome of aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients with a negative pre-treatment PET scan

A13: Kurch et al, Leipzig, Germany

Relevance of non-FDG-avid areas inside a tumour mass in paediatric Hodgkin lymphoma patients

C4: Cottereau et al, Rouen, France

Prognostic value of metabolic tumor volume measured on <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT in patients with nodal presentation T cell lymphoma

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Interim PET/CT**

**Prognostic impact in HL**

# Interim PET/CT – Hodgkin lymphoma

*A1: Miltényi et al, Debrecen, Hungary*

Entity / study goal: Hodgkin lymphoma / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 113 (62 early + 51 advanced), retrospective  
Time point: After 2nd cycle  
Evaluation: Deauville criteria  
Treatment: ABVD

## Results:

	NPV	PPV	5-yr RFS	5-yr OS
All patients	93 %	57 %	93 % vs. 41 %	93 % vs. 58 %
Early	100 %	54 %		
Advanced	82 %	59 %		

Conclusions: Interim PET/CT is predictive of RFS and OS in HL  
NPV better than PPV



# Interim PET/CT – Hodgkin lymphoma

*A3: Zaucha et al, Gdynia, Poland*

Entity / study goal: Hodgkin lymphoma / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 238 (71 early + 167 advanced), retrospective  
Time point: After 1st ( $\pm$  2nd) cycle  
Evaluation: Deauville criteria (positive: 4+5)  
Treatment: ABVD

## Results:

	iPET1+	iPET2+	NPV	2-yr PFS (- vs. +)
Early	15 %	3 %	100 %	100 % vs. 80 %
Advanced	31 %	13 %	88 %	91 % vs. 47 %

iPET1- / iPET2-: 7 % / 14 % relapses in advanced stages

Conclusions: Interim PET/CT is predictive of PFS in HL  
NPV of iPET better in early stages than in advanced stages

# Interim PET/CT – Hodgkin lymphoma

*A6: Dann et al, Haifa, Israel*

Entity / study goal: Hodgkin lymphoma / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 308, prospective  
Time point: After 2nd cycle  
Evaluation: Dynamic visual score, Deauville score  
Treatment: ABVD (A), BEACOPPesc (B), radiotherapy (RT)

Stages	iPET negative	iPET positive
Early favorable	2 x A + RT	2 x A + 2 x A + RT
Early unfavorable	2 x A + 2 x A + RT	2 x A + 4 x A + RT
Advanced IPS 0-2	2 x A + 4 x A	2 x A + 2 x B + RT
Advanced IPS 3-7	2 x B + 4 x A	2 x A + 2 x B + RT

## Results:

	NPV	PPV	Accuracy
Dynamic visual score	88 %	29 %	81 %
Deauville	89 %	38 %	83 %

Conclusions: Both scores are predictive of PFS  
Deauville slightly superior to dynamic visual score

# Interim PET/CT – HL and PMBCL

*B17: Algrin et al, Nice, France*

Entity / study goal: Mediastinal lymphomas / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 112 (68 HL + 44 PMBCL), retrospective  
Time point: After 2nd or 4th cycle (PET2, PET4)  
Evaluation: Deauville, Cheson, Gallamini, Dann /  $\Delta$ SUV  
Treatment: ?

## Results:

	<u>5-yr-PFS</u>	<u>5-yr-PFS</u>	<u>PET2</u>	<u>PET4</u>
HL	68 %	$\Delta$ SUV >66 %	77 %	86 %
PMBCL	93 %	$\Delta$ SUV <66 %	67 %	47 %

PET4 predicts PFS by Deauville score (only score 5) and  $\Delta$ SUV

Conclusions: Interim PET/CT is predictive of PFS in mediastinal lymphomas

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Interim PET/CT**

**Prognostic impact in DLBCL and BL**

# Interim PET/CT – DLBCL

*B6: Hutchings et al, Copenhagen, Denmark*

Entity / study goal: DLBCL / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 70 (37 PET1 + 33 PET2), prospective  
Time point: Before therapy, after 1st and 2nd cycle and at end of treatment (PET0, PET1, PET2, EOT)  
Evaluation: iPET: Deauville (pos.: 4+5),  $\Delta$ SUV; EOT: IHP  
Treatment: GA101 + CHOP (response: CR + PR)

## Results:

	PET1-	PET1+	PET2-	PET2+	Correlation EOT
Deauville PET1	49 %	51 %			None
Deauville PET2			67 %	33 %	None
$\Delta$ SUV PET1/PET0					Weak
$\Delta$ SUV PET2/PET0					None

Conclusions: Interim PET/CT is not predictive of EOT response in DLBCL  
But: PET+ PR rated as EOT response, no PFS data

# Interim PET/CT – DLBCL

*B4: Mylam et al, Odense, Denmark*

Entity / study goal: DLBCL / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 112, prospective  
Time point: Before therapy and after 1st cycle (PET0, PET1)  
Evaluation: IHP, Deauville criteria (positive: 4+5 or 5)  
Treatment: ?

## Results:

	<u>2-yr-PFS:</u>		
	iPET-	iPET+	
IHP			n.s.
Deauville 4+5			n.s.
Deauville 5	85 %	51 %	p=0,002
Tumor/liver SUVmax $\leq$ 3.1	90 %	57 %	

Conclusions: Interim PET/CT is not predictive of PFS in DLBCL  
Deauville 4+5 vs. 5 may be improved by use of T/L-SUV

# Interim PET/CT

*Deauville 5-point scale: Cut-of between 4 and 5 ?*

## Five-point Deauville Criteria.

---

Score 1	No uptake
Score 2	Uptake $\leq$ mediastinum
Score 3	Uptake $>$ mediastinum $<$ liver
Score 4	Uptake moderately increased above liver at any site
Score 5	Markedly increased uptake at any site including new sites of disease

---

# Interim PET/CT – AIDS-related lymphoma

*B15: Viau et al, Nice, France*

Entity / study goal: AIDS-related lymphoma / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 19 (8 HL + 6 DLBCL + 5 BL), retrospective  
Time point: Before therapy and after 2nd or 3rd cycle  
Evaluation:  $\Delta$ SUVmax,  $\Delta$ SULmax,  $\Delta$ MTV (> vs. < 66 %) ;  
Deauville (positive: 4+5), tumor/liver activity  
Treatment: ? (tumorSULmax/liverSULmean)

## Results:

	<u>3-yr-OS:</u>	<u>Good response</u>	<u>Poor response</u>	
$\Delta$ SUVmax / $\Delta$ SULmax		87 %	33 %	p<0,0001
$\Delta$ MTV				n.s.
Deauville		81 %	44 %	n.s.
Tumor/liver activity $\leq$ 5		84 %	33 %	p<0,0001

Conclusions:  $\Delta$ SUVmax is predictive of OS in AIDS-related lymphoma  
Ratio tumorSULmax/liverSULmean is promising



# Interim PET/CT – Burkitt lymphoma

*C6: Shah et al, Mumbai, India*

Entity / study goal: Burkitt lymphoma / interim PET/CT  
No. of patients: 21 (14 children + 7 adults), retrospective  
Time point: Before therapy and after 2nd or 3rd cycle  
Evaluation:  $\Delta$ SUVmax,  $\Delta$ TLG  
Treatment: ?

## Results:

	<u>No. pts.</u>	<u>2-yr-DFS</u>
100 % reduction SUVmax and TLG	13	100 %
> 90 % reduction SUVmax and TLG	3	100 %
< 90 % reduction SUVmax and TLG	5	40 %

Conclusions: Both  $\Delta$ SUVmax and  $\Delta$ TLG are predictive of DFS in BL  
High NPV (reliable identification of long-term responders)

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Interim PET/CT**

**Additional prognostic factors**

# Interim PET/CT – HL: tumor shrinkage

*A9: Kobe et al, Cologne, Germany*

Entity / study goal: Hodgkin lymphoma / interim PET/CT + tumor size  
No. of patients: 739 (residual tumor  $\geq 2,5$  cm), prospective (HD15)  
Time point: After chemotherapy, decision to deliver radiotherapy  
Evaluation: Visual (central review)  
Treatment: BEACOPP variants  $\pm$  radiotherapy

## Results:

	<u>No. pts.</u>	<u>4-yr-PFS</u>
PET negative (no radiotherapy)	548 (74 %)	92 %
PET positive (radiotherapy)	191 (26 %)	86 %
PET positive + $\geq 40$ % shrinkage	137 (19 %)	93 %
PET positive + $< 40$ % shrinkage	54 (7 %)	72 %

Conclusions: Tumor shrinkage (as determined by CT) is predictive of PFS in HL pts. receiving RT for residual PET+ lesions

# Interim PET/CT – DLBCL: molecular feat.

*B7: Scherman et al, Creteil, France*

Entity / study goal:	DLBCL / interim PET/CT + molecular features
No. of patients:	91 (IHC + FISH: BCL2, BCL6, MYC), retrospective
Time point:	After 2nd cycle
Evaluation:	Visual, $\Delta$ SUVmax
Treatment:	?

## Results:

Slow metabolic response + BCL2 overexpression or BCL2 gene alteration  
→ poor prognosis

Conclusions: BCL2 abnormalities improve prediction by iPET

# Interim PET/CT – HL: lympho/mono ratio

*A4: Simon et al, Debrecen, Hungary*

Prognostic role of  
peripheral lymphocyte/monocyte ratio and  
interim PET/CT in Hodgkin lymphoma patients

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Post-treatment PET/CT**

**Prognostic impact**

# Post-treatment PET/CT – PCNSL

*B16: Edeline et al, Saint-Cloud, France*

Entity / study goal: PCNSL / post-treatment PET/CT  
No. of patients: 10 (post-treatment: 9), retrospective  
Time point: Pre- and post-treatment, comparison MRI  
Evaluation: Visual and SUVmax (activity > surrounding brain)  
Treatment: Chemotherapy ( $\pm$  radiotherapy)

## Results:

	<u>Pre PET+</u>	<u>PET-</u>	<u>Post PET+</u>	<u>PET-</u>	<u>Post-MRI+</u>	<u>MRI-</u>
No. pts.	8	2	0	9	4	5
Relapse				6	4	2

Conclusions: Poor NPV of post-treatment PET/CT  
MRI superior

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Post-treatment PET/CT**

**Additional prognostic factors**



# Post-treatment PET/CT – FL: MRD

*B10: Luminari et al, Modena, Italy*

Entity / study goal: Follicular lymphoma / post-treatment PET/CT + MRD  
No. of patients: 41 (BCL2/IGH positive in bone marrow), prospective  
Time point: End of treatment  
Evaluation: Deauville (positive: 4+5)  
Treatment: ?

## Results:

	<u>No. pts. (%)</u>		<u>PFS (HR)</u>
PET- / MRD -	28 (68 %)	PET- vs. PET+	3.61 p=0,028
PET- / MRD+	8 (20 %)	MRD- vs. MRD+	2.54 p=0,060
PET+ / MRD-	2 (5 %)	PET-/MRD- vs.	
PET+ / MRD+	3 (7 %)	PET+ ± MRD+	3.42 p=0,012

Conclusions: PET/CT and MRD provide independent information  
Combining post-treatment PET/CT with post-treatment MRD improves prediction of PFS

# Post-treatment PET/CT – follicular lymph.

B12: Kostakoglu et al, New York, USA

Post-induction therapy FDG-PET is prognostic for PFS  
in relapsed follicular lymphoma:  
a preliminary analysis of the GAUSS study

B13: Trotman et al, Sydney, Australia

Prognostic value of PET/CT after frontline therapy in  
follicular lymphoma:  
applying the 5PS in three multicenter studies

# Poster discussion – PET in lymphoma

*25 clinically oriented studies*

## **Follow-up PET/CT**

**Detection of relapse**

# Follow-up PET/CT – Hodgkin lymphoma

*LBA: Pugliese et al, Naples, Italy*

A randomized trial of routine surveillance imaging procedures:  
ultrasonography / chest radiography vs. FDG PET/CT  
for detecting relapse  
in patients with advanced stage Hodgkin lymphoma